A natural construction for the real numbers

Norbert A'Campo

We propose a new construction of the real number system, that is built directly upon the additive group of integers and has its roots in the definition due to Henri Poincaré [P, pages 230–233,] of the rotation number of an orientation preserving homeomorphism of the circle. The definitions of addition, multiplication and comparison of real numbers are very natural in our setting. The proposed definition of real numbers is illustrated with examples that are irrational, roots of an integral polynomial equation, but not expressible by radicals, or not root of an integral polynomial equation. I thank Sebastian Baader, Etienne Ghys and Domingo Toledo for stimulating discussions.

Slopes and definition of the real numbers.

Let $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$ be the set of integers together with the arithmetic operation of addition. The basic objects in our construction are slopes. A *slope* is by definition a map $\lambda : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$, with the property that the set $\{\lambda(m+n) - \lambda(m) - \lambda(n) \mid m, n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is finite. Two slopes λ, λ' are *equivalent* if the set $\{\lambda(n) - \lambda'(n) \mid n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is finite.

Definition: A *real number* is an equivalence class of slopes.

Let **R** denote the set of real numbers. For $j \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $\overline{j} : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the map $\overline{j}(n) := nj$. The linear map \overline{j} is a slope for which the expression $\overline{j}(n+m) - \overline{j}(n) - \overline{j}(m)$ takes only the value 0. We identify an integer $j \in \mathbf{Z}$ with the real number represented by the slope \overline{j} . After this identification the set of integers \mathbf{Z} becomes a subset of the set of real numbers **R**. We see that among the real numbers the integers appear as those real numbers, which are representable by a linear slope.

For $p, q \in \mathbf{Z}, q > 0$, let the map $\phi : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ be defined by $\phi(n) := \min\{k \in \mathbf{N} \mid qk \ge pn\}, n \in \mathbf{N}, n > 0$ and by $\phi(-n) = -\phi(n)$ for $n \in \mathbf{Z}, n \le 0$. The map ϕ is a slope representing the rational number p/q, i.e. the slope ϕ represents a real number which is a solution of the equation qx = p. This will become clear, when we have defined multiplication of real numbers in our setting. As for the integers we identify the set of rational numbers \mathbf{Q} with a subset of \mathbf{R} . One can characterize the rational numbers as those real numbers, which are representable by a slope λ , such that for some integer q > 0 the map $n \in \mathbf{Z} \mapsto \lambda(qn) \in \mathbf{Z}$ is linear.

We now define the basic arithmetic operations such as *addition* and *multiplication* of real numbers.

Let $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ be real numbers. Let α, β be slopes representing the real numbers a

and b. The map $\alpha + \beta : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$, which is defined by $(\alpha + \beta)(n) := \alpha(n) + \beta(n)$, is again a slope and its equivalence class is independent of the choice of representatives α, β for a, b. We define the sum $a + b \in \mathbf{R}$ of $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ as the equivalence class of the slope $\alpha + \beta : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$.

The composition $\alpha \circ \beta : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is again a slope, and we define the *product* $ab \in \mathbf{R}$ as the equivalence class of the composition $\alpha \circ \beta : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$.

The consistency of this definition follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 1 Let the slopes α, α' represent $a \in \mathbf{R}$ and the slopes β, β' represent $b \in \mathbf{R}$. Then the compositions $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $\alpha' \circ \beta'$ are equivalent slopes.

Proof. We first show that the map $\alpha \circ \beta$ is a slope. Let E_{α} and E_{β} be finite subsets in \mathbb{Z} , such that $\alpha(n+m) - \alpha(n) - \alpha(m) \in E_{\alpha}$ and $\beta(n+m) - \beta(n) - \beta(m) \in E_{\beta}$ for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for $n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist $u, u' \in E_{\alpha}, v \in E_{\beta}$ with

$$\alpha \circ \beta(n) + \alpha \circ \beta(m) - \alpha \circ \beta(n+m) =$$

$$\alpha(\beta(n) + \beta(m)) + u - \alpha(\beta(n) + \beta(m) - v) =$$

$$\alpha(\beta(n) + \beta(m)) + u - (\alpha(\beta(n) + \beta(m)) + \alpha(-v) - u') = u - \alpha(-v) - u'$$

We conclude that the expression $\alpha \circ \beta(n) + \alpha \circ \beta(m) - \alpha \circ \beta(n+m), n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$, takes its values in a finite set. Hence, the map $\alpha \circ \beta$ and, with the same justification, also the map $\alpha' \circ \beta'$ are slopes.

Let $E_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ and $E_{\beta,\beta'}$ be finite sets such that we have $\alpha(n) - \alpha'(n) \in E_{\alpha,\alpha'}$ and $\beta(n) - \beta'(n) \in E_{\beta,\beta'}$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ there exist $r \in E_{\alpha,\alpha'}, s \in E_{\beta,\beta'}$ and $u \in E_{\alpha}$ with

$$\alpha \circ \beta(n) - \alpha' \circ \beta'(n) = \alpha(\beta'(n) - s) - (\alpha(\beta'(n)) + r) =$$
$$\alpha(\beta'(n)) + \alpha(-s) - u - (\alpha(\beta'(n)) + r) = \alpha(-s) - r - u.$$

We conclude that the expression $\alpha \circ \beta(n) - \alpha' \circ \beta'(n), n \in \mathbb{Z}$, takes its values in a finite set. Hence, the slopes $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $\alpha' \circ \beta'$ are equivalent.

Let $\mathbf{N} := \{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid n \ge 0\}$ be the set of natural numbers. We call a slope λ positive, if the set $\{\lambda(n) \mid n \in \mathbf{N}, \lambda(n) \le 0\}$ is finite, while the set $\{\lambda(n), n \in \mathbf{Z}\}$, is infinite. A real number *a* is positive, if its representing slopes are positive.

From this definition of positivity we obtain the ordering of the real numbers as usual in the following way. If a is positive, we say that a > 0 and 0 < a hold. The real number a is defined to be *less* then the real number b if there exists a positive real number t with b = a + t. If a is less then b, we say that a < b holds.

We illustrate the definitions by examples before stating and verifying that the set \mathbf{R} with the addition + , multiplication \cdot and order relation < satisfies all the axioms of the real numbers, i.e. of a complete totally ordered archimedean field.

A map $f : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is called *odd* if for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ the property f(-n) = -f(n) holds. An odd map $f : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is determined by its restriction to $\mathbf{N}_+ := \{n \in \mathbf{Z} \mid n > 0\}$. Let λ be an arbitrary slope. Then the map $\kappa : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ with $\kappa(0) = 0$ defined by $\kappa(n) := \lambda(n), n > 0$, and by $\kappa(n) := -\lambda(-n), n < 0$, is an odd slope, which is equivalent to the slope λ . So every real number can be represented by an odd slope. In order to verify that an odd map $\gamma : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is a slope, it suffices to check that the set $\{\gamma(n+m) - \gamma(n) - \gamma(m) \mid n, m \in \mathbf{N}_+\}$ is finite.

We will construct a slope that represents the number $\sqrt{2}$. Let $\rho : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the odd map defined by $\rho(n) = \min\{k \in \mathbf{N} \mid 2n^2 \leq k^2\}, n \in \mathbf{N}_+$. We have for $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ the inequalities: $n \leq \rho(n) \leq 2n, 2n^2 \leq \rho(n)^2, (\rho(n) - 1)^2 \leq 2n^2$. Hence $2n^2 \leq \rho(n)^2 \leq 2n^2 + 2\rho(n) - 1 \leq 2(n + 1)^2$. For $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_+$ we deduce $2nm \leq \rho(n)\rho(m) \leq 2(n + 1)(m + 1)$. The map ρ is a slope, since for $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_+$ we estimate

$$x := (-\rho(n+m) + \rho(n) + \rho(m))(\rho(n+m) + \rho(n) + \rho(m)) = -\rho(n+m)^2 + \rho(n)^2 + \rho(m)^2 + 2\rho(n)\rho(m)$$

by

$$-4n - 4m - 2 = -2(n + m + 1)^2 + 2n^2 + 2m^2 + 4nm \le x \le -2(n + m)^2 + 2(n + 1)^2 + 2(m + 1)^2 + 4(n + 1)(m + 1) = 8m + 8n + 8$$

and with $\rho(n+m) + \rho(n) + \rho(m) \ge n+m+1$, we conclude $|\rho(n+m) - \rho(n) - \rho(m)| \le 8$. The equivalence class of ρ is a positive real number a satisfying $a^2 = 2$. Indeed, the number a^2 is represented by the composition $\rho \circ \rho$. We have for $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ the inequalities $4n^2 \le 2\rho(n)^2 \le \rho(\rho(n))^2 \le 2(\rho(n) + 1)^2 \le 4n^2 + 8n + 2 \le 4(n+1)^2$ showing $2n \le \rho(\rho(n)) \le 2n+2$, which means that the slopes $\rho \circ \rho$ and $\overline{2}$ are equivalent and represent the integer 2. Hence ρ represents the square root $\sqrt{2}$ of 2, which is the length of the diagonal of a unit square and can not be represented as a fraction $\frac{p}{q}$, see $[\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{V}]$.

We will now construct a real number that is a root of the polynomial $p(x) := x^5 + x - 3$. Let the odd map $\alpha : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Z}$ be defined by $\alpha(n) := \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid 3n^5 \leq k^5 + n^4k\} = \min\{k \in \mathbb{N} \mid p(\frac{k}{n}) \geq 0\}, n \in \mathbb{N}_+$. Observe that that $p(\frac{\alpha(n)-1}{n}) \leq 0 \leq p(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n})$ and $\frac{\alpha(n)}{n} \leq \frac{6}{5}$ hold and that $p(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n}) = p(\frac{\alpha(n)-1}{n} + \frac{1}{n}) \leq \frac{6131}{125n} \leq \frac{50}{n}$ follows. The map α is a slope and represents the real root a of the equation $x^5 + x - 3 = 0$, which can not be represented by a compound radical expression after the work of Paolo Ruffini (1762-1822) and of Niels Henrik Abel (1802–1829), see [A,R,S]. We show that α is a slope. For $n, m \in \mathbb{N}_+$ define the rational numbers $a_- := \max\{\frac{\alpha(n)-1}{n}, \frac{\alpha(m)-1}{m}, \frac{\alpha(m+n)-1}{m+n}\}$ and $a_+ := \min\{\frac{\alpha(n)}{n}, \frac{\alpha(m)}{m}, \frac{\alpha(m+n)}{m+n}\}$. From the monotonicity of p and the definition of α we deduce $p(a_-) \leq 0, p(a_+) \geq 0$ and $a_- \leq a_+$. Let A be any rational number with $a_- \leq A \leq a_+$. We have the inequalities $|\alpha(n) - nA| \leq 1, |\alpha(m) - mA| \leq 1$ and $|\alpha(m+n) - (m+n)A| \leq 1$, that show $|\alpha(m+n) - \alpha(m) - \alpha(n)| \leq 3$. Let a be the real number that is represented by the slope α . We show p(a) = 0 by showing that the slope $\alpha^{\circ 5} + \alpha - \overline{3}$ is bounded. Here we have used the notation $\alpha^{\circ e}$ for the e-th iterate, $e \in \mathbb{N}$, of α . It is not at all easy to handle directly iterates of slopes. The following estimate helps out and is proved by induction upon the exponent $e \in \mathbb{N}_+$

$$|n^{e-1}\alpha^{\circ e}(n) - \alpha(n)^{e}| \le n^{e-1}(1 + |\alpha(1)| + S_{\alpha})^{e-1}, n \in \mathbf{N}_{+},$$

where the quantity $S_{\alpha} := \max\{|\alpha(u+v) - \alpha(u) - \alpha(v)|, u, v \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ measures the nonlinearity of the slope α . Note also $|\alpha(n)| \leq |n|(|\alpha(1)| + S_{\alpha})$. It follows that the slope $\alpha^{\circ e}$ is equivalent to the odd slope defined by $n \in \mathbf{N}_{+} \mapsto [\frac{\alpha(n)^{e}}{n^{e-1}}]$. So the slope $\alpha^{\circ 5} + \alpha - \overline{3}$ is equivalent to the odd slope ϵ defined by $n \in \mathbf{N}_{+} \mapsto [\frac{\alpha(n)^{5}}{n^{4}}] + \alpha(n) - 3n$. The slope ϵ is bounded, since for $n \in \mathbf{N}_{+}$ we have $0 \leq \epsilon(n) = np(\frac{\alpha(n)}{n}) \leq 50$. Here [?] is the Gaussian integral part bracket $[x] := \max\{k \in \mathbf{Z} \mid k \leq x\}, x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Let $\beta : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the odd map with $\beta(n) := \#\{(p,q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \mid p^2 + q^2 \leq n\}, n > 0.$ Unit squares in the plane with centers at the lattice points $(p,q) \in \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z}, p^2 + q^2 \leq n$, cover the disk of radius $\sqrt{n} - \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$ and are contained in the disk of radius $\sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$. Hence $|\beta(n) - n\pi| \leq 2\sqrt{2}\sqrt{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. It follows that the odd map $\bar{\beta}$ defined by $n \in N_+ \mapsto \left[\frac{\beta(n^2)}{n}\right]$ is a slope. The slope $\bar{\beta}$ represents the area π of the unit disk in the plane, see Chap. 1 in Anschauliche Geometrie of David Hilbert & S. Cohn-Vossen [H-V. See also the book of William Jones (1675-1749) Synopsis palmariorum mathesios, 1706, in which the notation π for that area was used for the first time. Johann Heinrich Lambert (1728-1777) has proved in his communication of 1761 to the Academy in Berlin that the number π is not a rational number, see [Le]. Adrien-Marie Legendre (1752-1833) has proved that π^2 is not rational. Carl Louis Ferdinand von Lindemann (1852-1939) was the first to prove in the year 1882 that π is transcendental, that is, π is not the root of any polynomial equation with integral coefficients. We recommend reading the recent book by Pierre Eymard and Jean-Pierre Lafon, The number π . **Remark.** The map β is not a slope since the quantity $s(n) := \beta(n) - \beta(n-1) - \beta(1)$ is not bounded. One has for instance $s(5^u) = 4u - 1, u \in \mathbf{N}_+$.

The number e appeared in the sixteenth century, when it was noticed that the expression $(1+\frac{1}{n})^n$ for compound interest increases with n to a certain value 2.7182818..., see the book "**e** The Story of a Number" by Eli Maor [M]. The number e became of central importance in Mathematics since its interpretations in Geometry and Analysis by Grégoire de Saint-Vincent (1584-1667). It is not obvious to define the number e with a slope. We use the solution to a problem, see [D], of Jakob Steiner (1796-1863) and define for $n \in \mathbf{N}, n > 0$, the integer $\epsilon(n)$ to be the natural number k, k > 0, such that the expression $(\frac{k}{n})^{\frac{n}{k}}$ takes its maximal value. The corresponding odd function $\epsilon : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ is a slope representing the number e.

The classical construction of the system of real numbers is based on Dedekind cuts or on Cauchy sequences $(r_n)_{n\in N}$ of rational numbers. The present construction by slopes is related to the classical ones as follows: To a slope λ corresponds a Dedekind cut (A, B) by setting $A := \{ \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbf{Q} \mid \bar{p} \leq \lambda \circ \bar{q} \}$ and $B := \{ \frac{p}{q} \in \mathbf{Q} \mid \lambda \circ \bar{q} \leq \bar{p} \}$ and also a Cauchy sequence $(r_n)_{n\in N}$ by setting $r_n := \frac{\lambda(n+1)}{n+1}$.

Well adjusted slopes.

We call a slope λ well adjusted if it is odd and satisfies the inequalities $-1 \leq \lambda(m+n) - \lambda(m) - \lambda(n) \leq 1, n, m \in \mathbb{Z}$. One can say that a well adjusted slope need not be a linear map from \mathbb{Z} to \mathbb{Z} , but deviates as little as possible from being linear. Each slope is equivalent to a well adjusted slope, as shows the concentration Lemma

below. So in particular, a real number can be represented by a well adjusted slope.

For integers $p, q, q \neq 0$, the result of optimal euclidean division of p by q will be denoted by p:q. The optimal euclidean division is the integer $r:=p:q \in \mathbb{Z}$ that satisfies the inequalities $2p - |q| \leq 2qr < 2p + |q|$, where $|q| := \max\{q, -q\}$ is the absolute value of q. For instance 4:7 = 1 but 3:7 = 0. If $p/q, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}, q \neq 0$, denotes the fraction, then we have $|p/q - p:q| \leq 1/2$. For the optimal euclidean division, we have

Lemma 2 Let $q \in \mathbf{N}_+$ and $a, b, c \in \mathbf{Z}$ be such that $-q \leq a - b - c \leq q$. Then we have $-1 \leq a : 3q - b : 3q - c : 3q \leq 1$.

Proof. The integer a: 3q - b: 3q - c: 3q differs from 0 by at most $1/2 + 1/2 + 1/2 + |a/3q - b/3q - c/3q| \le 3/2 + 1/3 = 11/6$. Hence we have $-1 \le a: 3q - b: 3q - c: 3q \le 1$, since 11/6 < 2.

Lemma 3 Let $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_+$ and $c \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then we have

$$-1 \le c : m(n+m) - c : n(n+m) - c : nm \le 1.$$

Proof. The integer c: m(n+m) - c: n(n+m) - c: nm differs from c/m(n+m) - c/n(n+m) - c/n(n+m) - c/nm = 0 by at most 1/2 + 1/2 + 1/2 = 3/2, hence $-1 \le c: m(n+m) - c: n(n+m) - c: nm \le 1$, since 3/2 < 2.

Lemma 4 (Concentration Lemma) Let λ be a slope. Let $s \in \mathbf{N}_+$ be such that for all $n, m \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $-s \leq \lambda(m+n) - \lambda(m) - \lambda(n) \leq s$. Let $\lambda' : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ be defined by $\lambda'(n) := \lambda(3sn): 3s, n \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then the map λ' is a well adjusted slope, which is equivalent to the slope λ .

Proof. By induction on $t \in \mathbf{N}_+$, we prove $-s(t-1) \leq \lambda(tn) - t\lambda(n) \leq s(t-1)$. For t = 3s we get $-s(3s-1) \leq \lambda(3sn) - 3s\lambda(n) \leq s(3s-1)$ and hence $-s \leq \lambda'(n) - \lambda(n) \leq s$, which shows the equivalence of λ and λ' . From $-s \leq \lambda(3sn+3sm) - \lambda(3sn) - \lambda(3sm) \leq s$ we deduce $-1 \leq \lambda'(n+m) - \lambda'(n) - \lambda'(m) \leq 1$.

A well adjusted slope λ has the following properties:

 $-|\lambda(n+1) - \lambda(n)| \le |\lambda(1)| + 1,$

- if for some $k \in \mathbf{N}_+$ we have $\lambda(k) > 1$ (or $\lambda(k) < -1$), then we have for any $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ the inequality $\lambda(n) \ge -1 + n : k$ (or $\lambda(n) \le +1 - n : k$),

- if for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have $\lambda(k) > 1$, then for $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ the set $\{n \in \mathbb{Z} \mid \lambda(n) = v\}$ is finite and has fewer then k + 1 elements,

- if for some $k \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $\lambda(k) > 1$, then for any $v \in \mathbf{Z}$, there exists $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $|v - \lambda(n)| \leq |\lambda(1)| + 1$,

- the real number x represented by λ satisfies x > 0 if and only if there exists $a \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\lambda(a) > 1$,

- let y be a real number represented by a well adjusted slope κ . We have x > y if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ with $\lambda(n) > 2 + \kappa(n)$.

From the above lemma and remarks we obtain:

Lemma 5 Let λ be a slope. If λ takes infinitely many values, then there exist $b, B \in \mathbb{N}_+$ such that the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |\lambda(n+k) - \lambda(n)| &\leq kb, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k \in \mathbf{N}, \\ |\lambda(n+kB) - \lambda(n)| &\geq k, n \in \mathbf{Z}, k \in \mathbf{N}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular, the slope λ takes each value at most 2B - 1 times.

The axioms.

We now state, partially in abbreviated form, the axioms for a complete totally ordered field, that are satisfied by the quadruple $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot, <)$. The presentation of the axioms is slightly redundant.

- 1. The pair $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ is an abelian group.
- 2. The triple $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot)$ is a field.
- 3. The quadruple $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot, <)$ is an archimedean, complete, totally ordered field. Complete ordered field, i.e.
 - for any non-empty subset T bounded from above in \mathbf{R} there exists a least upper bound in \mathbf{R} called the *supremum* of T. It will be denoted by Sup T.

Archimedian ordered field, i.e.

• for $a \in \mathbf{R}, a > 0$ and $A \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists a $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that Na > A.

We now begin the verification of the axioms for the system of real numbers, that we have introduced above. We leave out those verifications, that are straightforward and can be done without using well adjusted slopes as representatives.

The addition + of integers makes Z into an abelian group (Z, +). It follows easily that $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ is also an abelian group.

The triple $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot)$ is a skew field, i.e. verifies all the field axioms. Multiplication is associative since the composition of maps is. Only commutativity and the existence of inverses need extra care. For two slopes α, β we have the estimates

$$n\alpha(\beta(n)) = \alpha(n\beta(n)) + E_1 = \alpha(\beta(n)n) + E_1 = \beta(n)\alpha(n) + E_2 + E_1$$

with $|E_1| \leq |n|S_{\alpha}$ and $|E_2| \leq |\beta(n)|S_{\alpha} \leq |n|(|\beta(1) + S_{\beta})S_{\alpha}$. It follows

$$|\alpha \circ \beta(n) - \beta \circ \alpha(n)| \le S_{\alpha}(1 + |\beta(1)| + S_{\beta}) + S_{\beta}(1 + |\alpha(1)| + S_{\alpha})$$

showing that the slopes $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $\beta \circ \alpha$ are equivalent, hence the multiplication is commutative.

Let 1 be the real number represented by the identity map $\operatorname{Id}_{\mathbf{Z}} : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$. Clearly we have for a real number x the properties 1x = x1 = x, which makes 1 into the unit element of the multiplication \cdot of **R**.

We now construct a right inverse for $x \in \mathbf{R}, x \neq 0$, i.e. an element $y \in \mathbf{R}$ satisfying xy = 1. Let α be a well adjusted representing slope for x. It follows, that for each $v \in \mathbf{Z}$ we may choose $n_v \in \mathbf{Z}$ with $|v - \alpha(n_v)| \leq |\alpha(1)| + 1$. We define a map $\beta : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ by $\beta(v) := n_v$.

We claim that the map β is a slope. Indeed, for $v, w \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have

$$|\alpha(\beta(v+w) - \beta(v) - \beta(w))| = |\alpha(n_{v+w} - n_v - n_w)| \le |(v+w) - v - w| + 2 + 3(|\alpha(1)| + 1) = 3|\alpha(1)| + 5.$$

Since α takes each value only finitely many times, we conclude that the set $\{\beta(v + w) - \beta(v) - \beta(w) \mid v, w \in \mathbf{Z}\}$ is finite.

For $v \in \mathbf{Z}$ we have $\alpha \circ \beta(v) = \alpha(n_v)$, so the slopes $\alpha \circ \beta$ and $\mathrm{Id}_{\mathbf{Z}}$ are equivalent, since $|v - \alpha(n_v)| \leq |\alpha(1)| + 1$ holds. It follows that xy = 1.

The pair $(\mathbf{R}, <)$ is an order relation. First we prove that the relation < is total. Let x, y be real numbers represented by the slopes α and β . We consider the slope $\delta := \alpha - \beta$, which represents the number x - y. Let δ' be the well adjusted slope equivalent to δ given by the concentration lemma. If $\delta'(n) \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$ for all $n \in \mathbf{Z}$ then we have x = y. If $x \neq y$ we have for some $n \in \mathbf{N}$ either $\delta'(n) > 1$, or $\delta'(n) < -1$. In the first case we have x > y and in the second case we have x < y. The case x = y excludes x < y and x > y. The cases x < y and x > y exclude each other. It remains only to prove transitivity. Let x, y, z be real numbers with x > y and y > z, which are represented by the slopes α, β and γ . Let δ_1, δ_2 be well adjusted slopes equivalent to the slopes $\alpha - \beta$ and $\beta - \gamma$. So for some $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ and $m \in \mathbf{N}_+$ we have $\delta_1(n) > 1$ and $\delta_2(m) > 1$. It follows that $(\delta_1 + \delta_2)(nm) > 2$. The well adjusted slope δ_{12} equivalent to $\delta_1 + \delta_2$ will satisfy $\delta_{12}(nm) > 1$ and hence we have x > z.

The quadruple $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot, <)$ is an ordered field. Let x, y be reals satisfying x < yand let t be real. We represent x, y, t by the well adjusted slopes α, β and τ . Since x < y there exists $b \in \mathbf{N}$ with $\alpha(bn) < \beta(bn) - n, n \in \mathbf{N}_+$. Hence, $\alpha(bn) + \tau(bn) < \beta(bn) + \tau(bn) - n, n \in \mathbf{N}_+$, showing the monotonicity property for translations x + t < y + t. If t > 0, for some $d \in \mathbf{N}$ we have $\tau(dn) > n, n \in \mathbf{N}_+$, hence $\tau(\alpha(bdn)) < \tau(\beta(dbn)) - n, n \in \mathbf{N}_+$, showing the monotonicity property for the stretching tx < ty.

We now prove the archimedean property. Let $a \in \mathbf{R}$ with a > 0 and $A \in \mathbf{R}$ be given. We construct $N \in \mathbf{N}$ such that Na > A, as follows. We represent a and A

by well adjusted slopes λ and Λ . Since a > 0 holds, we may choose $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ with $\lambda(n) > 1$. Then $\lambda(2n) > 2$. Define $N := 1 + \max\{\Lambda(2n), 0\}$. Let κ be the well adjusted slope equivalent to the slope $N\lambda$. We have $\kappa(2n) > N\lambda(2n) - N > 2 + \Lambda(2n)$. Hence Na > A.

Finally, we will establish the completeness. Let D be a non-empty subset in \mathbf{R} bounded from above by $m \in \mathbf{R}$. So for $x \in D$ we have the inequality $x \leq m$. Let Δ be a set of well adjusted slopes representing the real numbers in the set D. Let μ be a well adjusted slope representing m. For every $n \in \mathbf{N}$ and every $\delta \in \Delta$ we have $\delta(n) < \mu(n) + 2$. It follows that for $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ the non-empty set $\{\delta(n) \mid \delta \in \Delta\}$ is bounded from above by $\mu(n) + 2$. Let $\sigma : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ be the odd map defined by

$$\sigma(n) := \max\{\delta(n) \mid \delta \in \Delta\}.$$

We claim that the map σ is a slope. Indeed, for $u \in \mathbf{N}_+$ let $\delta_u \in \Delta$ be a slope, which attains at u the value max{ $\delta(u) \mid \delta \in \Delta$ }. So, we have $\delta_u(u) = \sigma(u)$. For $p, N \in \mathbf{N}_+$ put q := pN. We compare δ_p, δ_q at p and q as follows. We have

$$\delta_q(q): N \le \delta_p(p) + 1$$

since $|\delta_q(q): N - \delta_q(p)| \leq 1$ and $\delta_q(p) \leq \delta_p(p)$. We also have

$$N\delta_p(p) \le \delta_p(q) + N \le \delta_q(q) + N.$$

We conclude that for all $p, N \in \mathbf{N}_+$

$$|\delta_p(p) - \delta_{pN}(pN) : N| \le 1.$$

Hence for $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_+$, where we put $c := \delta_{nm(n+m)}(nm(n+m))$, the following inequalities hold:

$$\begin{aligned} |\sigma(n) - c : m(n+m)| &\leq 1, \\ |\sigma(m) - c : n(n+m)| &\leq 1, \\ |\sigma(n+m) - c : nm| &\leq 1. \end{aligned}$$

For instance, the first inequality is obtained with p = n, N = m(n+m), q = Np and by comparing δ_p and δ_q at the point p. From

$$|c: nm - c: m(n+m) - c: n(n+m)| \le 1$$

it follows that for all $n, m \in \mathbf{N}_+$ we have

$$|\sigma(n+m) - \sigma(n) - \sigma(m)| \le 1+3 = 4,$$

which proves our claim.

Let s be the real number represented by the slope σ . For all $x \in D$ we have the inequality $x \leq s$, since for a slope $\delta \in \Delta$ representing x the inequalities

$$\delta(n) \le \delta_n(n) = \sigma(n), n \in \mathbf{N}_+,$$

hold. So $s \in \mathbf{R}$ is an upper bound for D.

In order to prove that s is the least upper bound of D, we show that no $t \in \mathbf{R}$ with t < s is an upper bound of D. Indeed, let τ be a well adjusted slope for $t \in \mathbf{R}$ with t < s. There exists $n \in \mathbf{N}_+$ with $\tau(n) < \sigma(n) - 2$. Let x in D be represented by δ_n . We have $\delta_n(n) > \tau(n) + 2$, hence x > t and t is not an upper bound for D.

Remarks: The above construction of the field of real numbers $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot)$ has as its starting point the additive group $(\mathbf{Z}, +)$. It is well known that the order relation < on \mathbf{R} is encoded in the field structure of \mathbf{R} , namely for $x, y \in \mathbf{R}$ we have x < y if and only if $y - x = t^2$ holds for some $t \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}$. So we see that the real ordered field $(\mathbf{R}, +, \cdot, >)$ is constructed directly out of the additive group of integers.

The group \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} appears as second bounded cohomology group $H_b^2(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$ with coefficients \mathbf{Z} of the group \mathbf{Z} . Bounded cohomology is defined by Michael Gromov in the seminal paper [G]. An element $\theta \in H_b^2(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$ is by definition the class modulo the boundary df of a bounded 1-cochain $f : \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ of a bounded 2-cocycle on \mathbf{Z} with values in \mathbf{Z} . A bounded 2-cocycle on \mathbf{Z} with values in \mathbf{Z} is a bounded map $\theta : \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Z} \to \mathbf{Z}$ that satisfies $d\theta = 0$. For example a real number a defines a bounded 2-cocycle $\theta_a(n,m) := [(n+m)a] - [na] - [ma]$. The map $a \in \mathbf{R} \mapsto \theta_a$ induces an isomorphism from \mathbf{R}/\mathbf{Z} to $H_b^2(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$. We recall the formulae for differentials in group cohomology: $d\theta(u, v, w) := \theta(u, v) - \theta(u + v, w) + \theta(u, v + w) - \theta(v, w)$ and df(n,m) := f(n) - f(n+m) + f(m). Our construction of the reals defines the additive group $(\mathbf{R}, +)$ as the quotient $\mathbf{R} := C_{db}^1(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})/C_b^1(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z})$, with

 $C^1_{db}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) := \{1 - \text{cochains on } \mathbf{Z} \text{ with values in } \mathbf{Z} \text{ and with bounded differential} \},\$

 $C_b^1(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) := \{ bounded \ 1 - cochains \ on \ \mathbf{Z} \ with \ values \ in \ \mathbf{Z} \}.$

The composition of maps in $C^1_{db}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) = \{slopes\}$ induces the multiplication in **R**.

The encoding of the order relation on \mathbf{R} in the field structure has far reaching consequences. For instance, the fact that a line incidence preserving bijection of the real projective plane is a projective transformation, is such a consequence.

The first rigorous definitions for real numbers were published independently in 1872 by G. Cantor, E. Heine, Ch. Méray, and R. Dedekind. The rigorous definition of convergence of a sequence of numbers was given by d'Alembert in 1765 and by Cauchy in 1821 without having at the time a rigorous definition of real numbers. An exposition of the construction of real numbers is given in the book "Grundlagen der Analysis" of Edmund Landau [La]. We recommend reading the book "Analysis by Its History" by E. Hairer and G. Wanner [H-W].

References

[A] Niels Henrik Abel, Beweis der Unmöglichkeit, algebraische Gleichungen von höheren Graden als dem vierten allgemein aufzulösen, J. reine angew. Math. 1 (1826), 65-84.

[D] Heinrich Dörrie, Triumph der Mathematik : hundert berühmte Probleme aus zwei Jahrtausenden mathematischer Kultur, Hirt, Breslau 1933, Eng. Transl.: David Antin (1958), 100 Great Problems of Elementary Mathematics: Their History and Solution, reprinted: Dover, New York 1965.

[F] Kurt von Fritz, The Discovery of Incommensurability by Hippasus of Metapontum, Annals of Math. **46** 2, (1945), 242–264.

[H-V] D. Hilbert and S. Cohn-Vossen, *Anschauliche Geometrie*, Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, **37** Springer, Berlin 1932.

[H-W] E. Hairer and G. Wanner, *Analysis by its History*, Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics, Springer Verlag, New-York, Berlin and Heidelberg, 1995.

[G] M. Gromov, Volume and bounded cohomology, Publ. Math. I.H.E.S., **56** (1982), 5–100, (1983).

[La] E. Landau, Grundlagen der Analysis, Akad. Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1930.

[Le] Henri Lebesgue, *Lecons sur les constructions géométriques*, avec Préface de Paul Montel, Gauthier-Villars, Paris 1950, and Éditions Jacques Gabay, Paris 1987.

[M] Eli Maor, e The Story of a Number, Princeton University Press, Princeton 1994.

[P] H. Poincaré, Sur les courbes définies par les équations différentielles, J. de Math. Pures et Appl., quatrième série, **1** (1885), 167–244 [Oeuvres, tome I, 90–161].

[S] C. Skau, The impossibility of solving the general nth degree equation algebraically when $n \ge 5$: Abel's and Ruffini's proofs revisited, Normat **38** 2, (1990), 53-84.

[R] Paolo Ruffini, Teoria generale delle equazioni, in cui si dimostra impossibile la soluzione algebraica delle equazioni generali di grado superiore al quarto, Bologna, Stamperia di S. Tommaso d'Aquino, 1799. - 2 v. ; 22 cm. Volume I: VIII, 206,
[4] p. Volume 2: [2], 207-509, [7] p., 2 tav. f. t.

[V] H. Vogt, Die Entdeckungsgeschichte des Irrationalen nach Plato und anderen Quellen des 4. Jahrhunderts, Bibliotheca Mathematica **3** (1910), 97–155.

University of Basle, Rheinsprung 21, CH-4051 Basel.